UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re Terrorist Attacks on September 11, 2001	03 MDL 1570 (GBD)(SN) ECF Case	

This document relates to:

Jessica DeRubbio, et al. v. Islamic Republic of Iran, No. 1:18-cv-05306 (GBD) (SN)

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND TO CORRECT ERRORS

Plaintiffs by undersigned counsel submit this Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Leave to Amend to Correct Errors, and say:

BACKGROUND

Plaintiffs are comprised of personal representatives and eligible family members of individuals killed in the terrorist attacks against the United States on September 11, 2001 (the "September 11th Attacks"). The only defendant in this case is Iran. On June 15, 2018, plaintiffs commenced suit against Iran by the filing of a Complaint. ECF 6. Iran was served on September 26, 2018 pursuant to 1608(a)(4). ECF 49. Iran failed to serve an answer or otherwise file a responsive pleading within sixty (60) days after service, and the Clerk issued a Certificate of Default on January 18, 2019. ECF 55. Plaintiffs have not yet applied for the entry of default judgment.

Plaintiffs' counsel conducted extensive quality control before filing and has continued its quality control process after filing the Complaint, during which time it has continued to obtain additional information from clients and family members of clients to complete its files. In so doing, counsel has determined that certain minor errors were contained in the Complaint filed in the above-referenced matter, such as name misspellings, incorrect states of residence, and certain

plaintiffs were incorrectly identified with respect to their relationship to the individual who died in the September 11th Attacks (e.g., a sibling of the victim incorrectly identified as a child).

None of the corrections constitute substantial changes that would potentially warrant additional service of an amended pleading on Iran.

Through the instant Motion, Plaintiffs seek to correct such errors before proceeding to default judgment so as to ensure the record is accurate. None of the modifications requested herein affect the substantive claims or relief sought. No new claims are asserted, and no additional plaintiffs have been added. Moreover, because the changes are insubstantial, no additional service on Iran is required.

ARGUMENT

I. LEGAL STANDARD

The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure make clear that leave to amend the complaint should be "freely given when justice so requires." Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a) "This 'permissive standard... is consistent with [the] strong preference for resolving disputes on the merits." *Media Glow Dig., LLC v. Panasonic Corp. of N. Am.*, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 207922, at *12 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 10, 2018) quoting *Loreley Fin. (Jersey) No. 3 Ltd. v. Wells Fargo Sec.*, LLC, 797 F.3d at 190. Leave to amend should only be denied in "instances of futility, undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive, repeated failure to cure deficiencies by amendments previously allowed, or undue prejudice to the non-moving party." *Burch v. Pioneer Credit Recovery, Inc.*, 551 F.3d 122, 126 (2d Cir. 2008). It is well settled that "[d]istrict courts are vested with broad discretion to grant a party leave to amend the pleadings." *Ruggles v. Wellpoint, Inc.*, 687 F. Supp. 2d 30, 33 (N.D.N.Y. 2009).

A. Plaintiff's Request for Leave to Amend Should Be Granted Under the Permissive Standard Set Forth In Rule 15(a).

Here, Plaintiffs only seek to correct what can best be characterized as typographical errors. There are no new claims asserted or any change in the substantive relief sought. Instead, the record will be made to accurately reflect the names, states of residence, and other data of each of the Plaintiffs. Such proposed amendments, as specifically itemized below, clearly do not constitute "instances of futility, undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive, repeated failure to cure deficiencies by amendments previously allowed, or undue prejudice to the non-moving party" and, therefore, should be permitted. *Burch v. Pioneer Credit Recovery, Inc.*, 551 F.3d 122, 126 (2d Cir. 2008).

i. Plaintiff Name Corrections:

The following Plaintiff's names were misspelled or should have included an "also known as" or a "minor" designation:

	Case Number	Plaintiff's Name as Pled	Plaintiff's Name as Amended	
1.	2nd Amendment 1:18-cv-05306	Gallagher-Adams, Suzanne	Gallagher-Adams, Suzanne, ALSO KNOWN AS Adams, Suzanne G.	
2.	1st Amendment 1:18-cv-05306	Artola, Jennifer Feely	Artola, Jennifer Feely, ALSO KNOWN AS Artola, Jennifer	
3.	1:18-cv-05306	Haskell-Carbone, Dawn	Haskell-Carbone, Dawn, ALSO KNOWN AS Carbone, Dawn H.	
4.	1:18-cv-05306	Farino, Mary	Farino, Mary, ALSO KNOWN AS Farino-Thomas, Mary A.	
5.	1:18-cv-05306	RFF ¹	Audrey Ades, as Natural Guardian of RHSFF, a minor, as surviving child of Paul Friedman	
6.	3rd Amendment 1:18-cv-05306	Geraghty-Perez, Maureen	Geraghty-Perez, Maureen, ALSO KNOWN AS Geraghty, Maureen	
7.	3rd Amendment 1:18-cv-05306	Hamdani, Mohammed	Hamdani, Mohammad	

¹ Plaintiff's full name was inadvertently included in the initial pleading.

	Case Number	Plaintiff's Name as Pled	Plaintiff's Name as Amended
			Hyland, Cheryl,
8.	4th Amendment 1:18-cv-05306	Hyland, Cheryl	ALSO KNOWN AS
			Hyland-Martinez, Cheryl
	1st Amendment 1:18-cv-05306		Ludvigson, Lauren Feely,
9.		Ludvigson, Lauren Feely	ALSO KNOWN AS
			Ludvigsen, Lauren
			Morris Green, Melrose,
10.	. 1:18-cv-05306	Morris Green, Melrose	ALSO KNOWN AS
			Morrison Green, Melrose
			Persol-Hodges, Jatair,
11.	1:18-cv-05306	Persol-Hodges, Jatair	ALSO KNOWN AS
			Persol, Jatair

ii. Plaintiff Whose Claim is Solely as Estate Administrator

The following Plaintiff's claim is only in her capacity as the administrator of an estate; therefore, the claim in her individual capacity is withdrawn:

	Case Number Plaintiff's Name as Pled Plaintiff		Plaintiff's Name as Amended
1.	4th Amendment 1:18-cv-05306	Houston, Stephanie, individually	Withdrawn

iii. Plaintiff Whose Claim is Solely in Individual Capacity

The following Plaintiff has only a solatium claim and is not the administrator of an estate.

	Case Number	Plaintiff's Name	Claim as Pled	Claim as Amended
1.	1:18-cv-05306	Costello, Rose	Solatium/Wrongful Death	Solatium

iv. Plaintiffs' Whose Relationship to 9/11 Decedent is Incorrectly described:

The familial relationship to the 9/11 Decedent of the following Plaintiffs was incorrectly described:

	Case Number	Plaintiff's Name	Plaintiff's Relationship to 9/11 Decedent as Pled	Plaintiff's Relationship to 9/11 Decedent as Amended
1.	4th Amendment 1:18-cv-05306	Bernstein Donati, Robyn	Sister	Daughter

	Case Number	Plaintiff's Name	Plaintiff's Relationship to 9/11 Decedent as Pled	Plaintiff's Relationship to 9/11 Decedent as Amended
2.	1:18-cv-05306	Costello, Rose	Mother/PR	Mother
3.	1st Amendment 1:18-cv-05306	Doany, Camille	Sister	Brother
4.	2nd Amendment 1:18-cv-05306	Freiman, Michael	Spouse	Brother

B. No Additional Service Is Required Because The Changes Contained In The Amended Pleadings Are Insubstantial.

In this case, Plaintiffs properly served Iran in accordance with the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act and, after Iran failed to timely respond, the Clerk of Court issued a Certificate of Default. See ECF 55. Plaintiffs now seek to make the aforementioned corrections, which are insubstantial. It is well settled that no additional service is required under these circumstances: "Where a plaintiff serves a complaint on a foreign state defendant under the FSIA, the foreign state defaults, and then the plaintiff files an amended complaint, service of the new complaint is only necessary if the changes are 'substantial.'" Shoham v. Islamic Republic of Iran, 922 F. Supp. 2d 44, 47 (D.D.C. 2013) citing, Belkin v. Islamic Republic of Iran, 667 F. Supp. 2d 8, 20 (D.D.C. 2009) ("Service of the original complaint was effected on all three Defendants under 28 U.S.C. § 1608(a)(4). Plaintiff did not serve the amended complaint on defendants. Where changes made in an amended complaint are 'not substantial,' the requirement of Rule 5(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure that a pleading that states a new claim for relief against a party in default must be served on that party is not applicable."); Blais v. Islamic Republic of Iran, 459 F. Supp. 2d 40, 46 (D.D.C. 2006) ("Even were these changes characterized as substantive Iran, the MOIS and the IRGC had fair notice of the allegations and relief sought, because the changes to the third amended complaint were not substantial. ... Accordingly, this Court will not require plaintiff to serve the amended complaint."); Dammarell v. Islamic

Republic of Iran, 370 F. Supp. 2d 218, 225 (D.D.C. 2005) ("[S]ection 1608 is inapplicable in the setting where the defendant foreign state has failed to appear, and is therefore in default, and where an amendment does not add any claims but instead clarifies existing claims.")

In this case, the Plaintiffs only seeks to correct typographical errors and certain inaccuracies regarding the relationship of the Plaintiff to the September 11th decedent, or to otherwise clarify the record. Because these changes are insubstantial, no additional service of the amended pleadings should be required.

CONCLUSION

The corrections requested by Plaintiffs are clerical in nature and serve to clarify the record. They do not affect the substance of any claims made in the above-referenced action. Moreover, the corrections are necessary to the administration of justice insomuch as not making them will potentially affect the rights of the incorrectly identified plaintiffs to enforce and collect on any judgment this Court enters in their favor. For the foregoing reasons, plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court permit the proposed amendments without requiring service on Iran.

Dated: March 14, 2019

/s/ Jerry S. Goldman

ANDERSON KILL P.C. Jerry S. Goldman, Esq. Bruce E. Strong 1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020

Tel: 212-278-1000 Fax: 212-278-1733

Email: jgoldman@andersonkill.com

Arthur R. Armstrong, Esq. (pro hac vice) 1760 Market Street, Suite 600 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel: 267-216-2711

Fax: 215-568-4573

Email: aarmstrong@andersonkill.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs